INTERGOVERNMENTAL MEETING

SUBJECT: REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY (RTA)

PARTICIPANTS: MOUNTAIN VILLAGE, TELLURIDE, SAN MIGUEL COUNTY, NORWOOD, SAWPIT, OPHIR

1:00 PM, WEDNESDAY, DECEMBER 9, 2015 2nd FLOOR CONFERENCE ROOM, MOUNTAIN VILLAGE TOWN HALL 455 MOUNTAIN VILLAGE BLVD, MOUNTAIN VILLAGE, COLORADO AGENDA

1.	Call to Order
2.	Introduction of Facilitator Noelle Hagan and Attendees
3.	Brief Recap of RTA Talking Points for Newly Elected Officials
4.	The Roaring Fork Transportation Authority a. History b. Evolution of RFTA c. Take-aways for Consideration
5.	Back to San Miguel County Proposed RTA a. Size of Proposed RTA i. What is doable? ii. Services can be Contractually Provided Beyond Authority Boundaries b. Revenue Source/Collection
	c. Timing on Ballot Question(s)

Distribution

Town of Mountain Village

Kim Montgomery kmontgomery@mtnvillage.org
Jackie Kennefick jkennefick@mtnvillage.org
Dan Jansen djansen@mtnvillage.org
Michelle Sherry msherry@mtnvillage.org
Marty McKinley mmckinley@mtnvillage.org
Cath Jett cjett@mtnvillage.org
Dan Caton dcaton@mtnvillage.org
Bruce MacIntire bmacintire@mtnvillage.org
Laila Benitez lailabenitez@mtnvillage.org
Glen Van Nimwegen gvannimwegen@mtnvillage.org

Town of Telluride

Greg Clifton gclifton@telluride-co.gov
Tiffany Kavanaugh tkavanaugh@telluride-co.gov
Kevin Geiger kgeiger@telluride-co.gov
Bob Saunders bsaunders@telluride-co.gov
Lars Carlson learlson@telluride-co.gov
Todd Brown tbrown@telluride-co.gov
Jenny Patterson jpatterson@telluride-co.gov
Ann Brady abrady@telluride-co.gov
Sean Murphy smurphy@telluride-co.gov
Delanie Young dyoung@telluride-co.gov

Media

KOTO News news@koto.org Telluride Daily Planet editor@telluridedailyplanet.com

San Miguel County

Lynn Black lynnb@sanmiguelcounty.org
Art Goodtimes commish3@sanmiguelcounty.org
Elaine Fischer elainef@sanmiguelcounty.org
Joan May joanm@sanmiguelcounty.org
Steve Zwick stevez@sanmiguelcounty.org
Nina Kothe ninak@sanmiguelcounty.org
Mike Rozycki miker@sanmiguelcounty.org
Dave Schneck daves@sanmiguelcounty.org
Jennifer Dinsmore jenniferd@sanmiguelcounty.org

Other Towns and Entities

SMRHA: Shirley Diaz Shirley@smrha.org
Ophir: Randy Barnes admin@town-ophir.co.gov
Sawpit: Mike Kimball michaelnjoyce@msn.com
Norwood: Patti Grafmyer grafmyer@norwoodtown.com
Rico: Gregg Anderson ricotrustee1@ricocolorado.org
Telluride R-1 School District mgass@telluride.k12.co.us
bkallas@telluride.k12.co.us
Lawson Hill HOA Pam Hall lawsonhill@gmail.com
U.S.F.S. Corey Wong cwong@fs.fed.us
Judy Schutza jschutza@fs.fed.us
Telluride Fire District jbennett@telluridefire.com
TMVOA anton@tmvoa.org
TSG rstenhammer@tellurideskiresort.com

San Miguel County Regional Transportation Authority Updated 2015 Executive Summary

I. History

- a. San Miguel County Transit Advisory Council (SMCTAC) was formed in July 2010 to provide regional transit coordination and planning. Three main objectives were identified:
 - i. Coordination of existing services
 - ii. Communication of existing services
 - iii. Planning future services
- b. Planning functions focused on the formation of a Regional Transit Authority (RTA):
 - i. Provide a means to fund local services without adequate long term funding mechanism
 - ii. Provide a framework for elected officials to address Gondola issues after 2027:
 - 1. Continued operation of existing infrastructure with or without improvements
 - 2. Replace with state-of-the-art system
 - 3. Capital and Operational issues
 - a. Who will own
 - b. Who will operate
 - c. How will it be funded
 - iii. Provide a coordinated State and Federal grant administration methodology
- c. First IGA draft prepared by full SMCTAC February, 2013
 - i. Issues:
 - 1. Gondola inclusion
 - 2. Taxation: sales, property and vehicle registration fee
 - 3. Town Of Mountain Village nonresident homeowner voting rights
 - 4. RTA elected representational makeup
- d. Second IGA draft prepared by SMCTAC subcommittee March, 2014
 - i. Issues:
 - 1. Substantive same issues as above
 - 2. Presentational errors, typos, inconsistent nomenclature and references
- e. Third IGA draft prepared by SMCTAC subcommittee September, 2014
- f. July 30, 2015 Joint Transportation Meeting
 - i. Conceptual/Foundational Questions
 - 1. Should intra-town services be continued? see substantive issue to be resolved
 - 2. Should we continue to provide inter-town regional services? see substantive issue to be resolved
 - 3. Does the gondola provide regional transportation? see substantive issue to be resolved
 - 4. Should we form an RTA to manage regional transit? see substantive issue to be resolved
 - ii. Should the Gondola be included with the initial formation of an RTA? see substantive issue to be resolved
- g. Nov 9, 2015 Joint Transportation Meeting
 - i. A Doppelmayr Engineering Study was presented
 - 1. Existing system, currently maintained at a level of "excellent", can operate indefinitely contingent upon component cyclical fatigue and obsolesce
 - 2. Existing terminal infrastructure can be adapted to handle increased capacity and level cabin loading upgrades with minimal additional downtime over a 2-year timeframe: \$16M-\$21M @ 1200 2400 pph
 - 3. Entire system and buildings can be razed and rebuilt during one year construction period: \$30M \$35M @ 1200 2400 pph
- h. Dec 9, 2015 Joint Transportation Meeting
 - i. RFTA presentation highlighting formation history
 - ii. Continuing discussion of RTA concepts:
 - 1. Concerns & Issues pertaining to getting a proposal on the ballot
 - 2. Jurisdictions to be included see substantive issue to be resolved
 - 3. Geographic Area to be included see substantive issue to be resolved
 - 4. Affirmation of ballot proposal timing see substantive issue to be resolved

II. Draft IGA summary: September, 2014 with resolutions to substantive issues throughout 2015

- a. Edited by subcommittee to clean up presentational issues to a consistent format
- b. Substantive Issues to be resolved:
 - i. Formation with or without taxation? **RESOLVED** > formation with taxation ballot question for Nov 2016
 - ii. Which entities should participate initially and which entities should be added later? **RESOLVED >** Towns of Telluride, Mountain Village and unincorporated San Miguel County
 - iii. Should the RTA boundaries be expanded, left as described, or contracted? RESOLVED > R1 School District, initially
 - iv. Transit services operated and funded by RTA will include which of the following (Appendix D):
 - 1. Local intra-town services? **RESOLVED > No, not initially**
 - 2. Local/regional inter-town services?
 - 3. Regional commuter services?
 - v. Would the entities currently funding and operating transit continue to fund, for how long?
 - vi. What funding mechanisms should be included as part of the ballot question? Timeline to get to the ballot.
 - vii. Should there be a sunset clause allowing entities to back out later?
 - viii. How should board of directors' representation be aligned with asymmetrical population and tax bases?
 - ix. Should a super majority be required for none, some, all decisions?
 - x. Should TMV nonresident homeowners be enfranchised in initial and subsequent RTA election issues? Should the IGA disclose and define TMV voting rights in the document?
 - xi. How should the gondola fit into the RTA after 2027? Who should own, operate, fund and at what proportion?
 - xii. Should the existing gondola be replaced with a state of the art system in year 2020; 2030; 2040?
 - xiii. Should there be a sunset clause allowing entities to back out later?

III. Next steps